

Self Gravitating Instability of a Compressible Fluid Cylinder

Ahmed E. Radwan and Gamal G. Nashed

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

The self-gravitating instability of a compressible-inviscid fluid cylinder immersed into a self-gravitating tenuous medium of negligible motion is developed. The stability criterion is derived based on the linear perturbation technique. Some previous reported works are recovered. The effect of different factors on the fluid cylinder instability is discussed. The compressibility has a tendency for a stabilizing the model in particular as the sound speed a is very large in the stable domains $1.0233928 \leq x < \infty$ but comparatively small in the unstable domains $0 < x < 1.0233928$ where $x = kR_0$ is the dimensionless longitudinal wavenumber with k is the axial wavenumber and R_0 is the radius of the cylinder. In the absence of the compressibility factor the unstable domain is found to be $0 < x < 1.0678$.

Key-words: Compressibility, Selfgravitational , Instability.

1. Introduction

The instability of a self-gravitating incompressible fluid cylinder has been investigated for first time by Chandrasekher and Fermi [1]. They have utilized the method of presenting the solinoidal vectors in terms of poloidal and toriodal quantities which is valid only for axisymmetric perturbations. Chandrasekher [2] derived the stability criterion of such model for axisymmetric and non axisymmetric modes by using the normal mode analysis technique. Several extensions for such studies have been carried out upon considering the effect of different factors on the self-gravitating force [3, 4].

The purpose of the present work is to discuss the stability of a self-gravitating compressible fluid cylinder by utilizing the normal mode analysis. However, such technique is different from that used previously by Chandrasekher [2] and Radwan [3], because the velocity field in a compressible fluid is no longer solinoidal, i.e, $\nabla \cdot \underline{u} \neq 0$.

2. Basic equations

We consider a selfgravitating fluid cylinder of radius R_0 embedded into a self gravitating tenuous medium of negligible motion. The fluid is assumed to be non-viscous and compressible. The model is acted upon by the self-gravitating and pressure gradient forces. We shall use the cylindrical coordinates (r, φ, z) with the z-axis coinciding with the axis of the cylinder.

The basic equations appropriate for the present problem are the equations of motion, continuity equation, equation of state and the equations satisfying the self-gravitating potentials interior and exterior the fluid cylinder. These equations could be written in the form

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\underline{u} \cdot \nabla) \right) \underline{u} = -\nabla p + \rho \nabla V, \quad (1)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \right) \rho = -\rho(\nabla \cdot \underline{u}), \quad (2)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \right) p = \frac{\gamma p}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \right) \rho, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla^2 V = -4\pi G\rho, \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla^2 V^e = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $\rho, \underline{u}, V$ and p are the fluid mass density, velocity vector, self-gravitating potential and kinetic pressure; V^e is the self-gravitating potential of the tenuous medium exterior the fluid cylinder, γ is the ratio of the specific heats and G is the gravitational constant. Here the superscript e denotes the exterior of the fluid cylinder. In the equilibrium state we have

$$\underline{u}_0 = 0, \quad \rho = \rho_0, \quad p = p_0, \quad V = V_0, \quad V^e = V_0^e,$$

where index 0 characterizes the equilibrium quantities, later on the index 1 is pertaining the perturbed quantities. equations (4) and (5) in this state read

$$\nabla^2 V_0 = -4\pi G \rho, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla^2 V_0^e = 0. \quad (7)$$

By integrating equations (6) and (7) with respect to r and determining the constants of integration. The latter may be determined upon applying the boundary condition that the self-gravitating potential and its derivative must be continuous across the boundary surface at $r = R_0$. Consequently, the non-singular solutions of equations (6) and (7) are given by

$$V_0 = -\pi G \rho_0 r^2, \quad (8)$$

$$V_0^e = -2\pi G \rho_0 R_0^2 \ln \frac{r}{R_0} + C_0, \quad (9)$$

where C_0 is an arbitrary constant. In the present initial state, equation (1) yields

$$\nabla p_0 = \rho_0 \nabla V_0. \quad (10)$$

By integrating equation (10) and determining the integration constant (note that $p_0 = 0$ at $r = R_0$). Finally, the distribution of the pressure in the unperturbed state is given by

$$p_0 = \pi G \rho_0^2 (R_0^2 - r^2). \quad (11)$$

It is worthwhile to mention here that p_0 is not constant in contrast to other studies for different models acted upon capillary or/and electromagnetic forces, [2], where it is found that p_0 is constant.

3. Perturbation Analysis

For small departure from the equilibrium state, the fluid physical quantity Q could be expressed as

$$Q(r, \varphi, z, t) = \sum_n \epsilon^n Q_n(r, \varphi, z, t), \quad n = 0, 1 \quad (12)$$

where Q stands for $p, \underline{u}, V, V^e$ and ρ . ϵ is the amplitude of the perturbation at all times

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_0 e^{\sigma t}, \quad (13)$$

where ϵ_0 ($= \epsilon$ at $t=0$) is the initial amplitude and σ is the growth rate. If σ is imaginary say $\sigma = i\omega$, ($i = \sqrt{-1}$), then $\frac{\omega}{2\pi}$ is the oscillation frequency. Based on the expansion (12) and from the view point of the linearized theory, the deformation along the fluid cylinder interface due to perturbation could be written in the form

$$r = R_0 + R_1, \quad |R_1| < R_0,$$

with

$$R_1 = \epsilon e^{i(kz+m\varphi)}. \quad (14)$$

Here k and m are, respectively, the longitudinal and azimuthal wavenumbers and R_1 is the elevation of the surface wave measured from the initial position at $r = R_0$.

By the use of the expressions (12)-(14) for equations (1)-(5), the relevant perturbation equations are

$$\sigma \rho_0 \underline{u}_1 = -\nabla p_1 + \rho_0 \nabla V_1, \quad (15)$$

$$\sigma \rho_1 = -\rho_0 (\nabla \cdot \underline{u}_1), \quad (16)$$

$$p_1 = \frac{\gamma p_0}{\rho_0} \rho_1, \quad (17)$$

$$\nabla^2 V_1 = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\nabla^2 V_1^e = 0. \quad (19)$$

By an appeal to (φ, z) -dependence (cf. equation (14)) and based on the linear perturbation technique concerning stability theory, every perturbed quantity $Q_1(r, \varphi, z, t)$ could be expressed as $e^{(\sigma t + i(kz + m\varphi))}$ times an amplitude function of r . Consequently, the self-gravitating equations (18) and (19) are solved. Upon applying appropriate boundary conditions across the cylindrical fluid interface at

$r = R_0$, the constants of integration are determined and the non-singular solution of equations (18) and (19) are given by

$$V_1 = 4\pi G \rho_0 R_0 K_m(x) I_m(kr) e^{i(kz+m\varphi)}, \quad (20)$$

and

$$V_1^e = 4\pi G \rho_0 R_0 I_m(x) K_m(kr) e^{i(kz+m\varphi)}. \quad (21)$$

Here I_m and K_m are the modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind of order m while $x(= kR_0)$ is the dimensionless longitudinal wavenumber. Combining equations (15)-(17) and solving the resulting differential equations by utilizing similar steps as those which are used in solving equations (18) and (19). The constants of integration could be identified upon applying the kinematic boundary condition that the normal component of the velocity vector must be compatible with the velocity of the perturbed fluid interface. The non-singular solutions are given by

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma \rho_0} \nabla \left\{ \left[4\pi G \rho_0^2 R_0 K_m(x) I_m(kr) - C I_m\left(r \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2}}\right) \right] e^{i(kz+m\varphi)} \right\}, \quad (22)$$

and

$$p_1 = C I_m\left(r \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2}}\right) e^{i(kz+m\varphi)}, \quad (23)$$

where C is defined by

$$C = \frac{\rho_0 \left[4\pi G \rho_0 x K_m(x) I'_m(x) - \sigma^2 \right]}{\sqrt{k^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2}} I_m(R_0 \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2}})}, \quad (24)$$

and $a(= \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p_0}{\rho_0}})$ is the speed of sound in the fluid.

Finally, we have to apply the boundary condition that the normal component of the stress tensor must be continuous across the fluid cylindrical interface at $r = R_0$. This condition leads to

$$p_1 + R_0 \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial r} = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad r = R_0. \quad (25)$$

By substituting from equations (11), (23) and (24) into condition (25), following relation is obtained

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{4\pi G \rho_0} = \frac{y I'_m(y)}{I_m(y)} \left[\frac{x K_m(x) I'_m(x) I_m(y)}{y I'_m(y)} - \frac{1}{2} \right], \quad (26)$$

where

$$y = \sqrt{x^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{(a/R_0)^2}}, \quad (27)$$

is the compressible dimensionless longitudinal wavenumber. Here we get $y = x$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

Equation (26) is the desired self-gravitating dispersion relation of an inviscid compressible fluid cylinder. It involves the entity $(4\pi G\rho_0)^{-1/2}$ as a unit of time, the two kinds of the modified Bessel functions of different arguments, the sound speed in the fluid and the classical and compressible wavenumbers x and y .

In the limiting case as $a \rightarrow \infty$ while $m \geq 0$, the relation (26) reduces to

$$\sigma^2 = 4\pi G\rho \frac{xI'_m(x)}{I_m} \left[I_m(x)K_m(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right]. \quad (28)$$

This relation coincides with the dispersion relation deduced by Chandrasekhar [2] for solinoidal velocity vector.

If we suppose that $a \rightarrow \infty$ and $m = 0$, the relation (26) reduces to

$$\sigma^2 = 4\pi G\rho \frac{xI_1(x)}{I_0(x)} \left[I_0(x)K_0(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right]. \quad (29)$$

The relation (29) has been derived for first time by Chandrasekhar and Fermi [1]. Indeed they have used a technique which is totally different from that used here. Such a technique is based on presenting the solinoidal vectors in terms of poloidal and toroidal quantities.

As the compressibility factor influence is very small, the effect of the self-gravitating force on the instability of the fluid cylinder may be determined upon discussing the relation (27) and (28).

By the aid of the numerical data of the modified Bessel functions [5], it is found for all $m \neq 0$ that

$$I_m(x)K_m(x) < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (30)$$

Hence

$$\sigma^2 < 0 \quad \text{for all } m \neq 0. \quad (31)$$

Therefore, the fluid cylinder is gravitationally stable for all purely non-axisymmetric perturbations. In the axisymmetric perturbation mode $m = 0$ it is found that

$$\sigma^2 < 0, \quad (32)$$

in the domain $0 < x < 1.0667$ while

$$\sigma^2 = 0, \quad (33)$$

at the critical point $x = 1.0667$ and

$$\sigma^2 > 0, \quad (34)$$

in the wide domain $1.0667 < x < \infty$. This means that the fluid cylinder is self-gravitational unstable as long as the

$$\lambda > (2\pi/1.0667), \quad (35)$$

while it is stable iff the perturbed wavelength λ satisfy

$$\lambda \leq (2\pi/1.0667),$$

where the equality corresponds to the marginal stability state.

Now, as we have seen in the foregoing discussion the self-gravitating incompressible fluid cylinder is unstable only in the axisymmetric mode $m = 0$. Therefore, in discussing the general relation (26) for determining the influence of the compressibility, we focus our stability discussions for in the axisymmetric perturbation mode $m = 0$. For $m = 0$, the relation (26) reduces to

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{4\pi G\rho_0} = \frac{yI'_1(y)}{I_0(y)} \left[\frac{xk_0(x)I'_1(x)I_0(y)}{yI'_1(y)} - \frac{1}{2} \right], \quad (36)$$

where the dimensionless compressible wavenumber y is still given by (27). The relation (36) has been calculated in the computer and the effect of different factors are identified. See figure (1) and table (1). The analytical results are verified and it is found that the compressibility destabilizing the model for different values of a in particular as the sound speed a is very large. It is worthwhile to mention here also that for all values of s in the range $0.1 \leq s < 5.0$ (with $s = a/R_0$) we found that the model is unstable in the domain $0 < x < 1.0233928$. While it is stable in the neighboring domains $1.0233928 \leq x < \infty$ where the equality corresponds to the marginal stable state. However, with increasing s values the areas under the unstable curves are increasing. Corresponding to $s = 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$ and 5.0 , the maximum modes of instability, respectively, are $N_{max} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{4\pi G\rho_0}} =$

0.066997, 0.246781, 0.309376, 0.331429 and 0.338533 at $x = 0.46, 0.56, 0.61, 0.61$ and 0.66 . This means that the compressibility has a tendency of destabilizing the model. On contrary as $s = 0$ we have the selfgravitating unstable domain is $0 < x < 1.0678$. This shows that all the unstable domain is the presence of compressibility are less than that in the absence of the compressibility. This means that the compressibility has a tendency of stabilizing the model.

References

- [1] S. Chandrasekhar and E. Fermi, *Astrophys. J.* 118 (1953) 116.
- [2] S. Chandrasekhar, *Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic stability*, (Dover Publ., New York 1981)
- [3] A. E. Radwan, *Indian J. Pure & Applied Phys.* 33 (1995) 587.
- [4] A. E. Radwan, *Int. J. Engng. Sci. (USA)* 37 (1999) 123.
- [5] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, ” *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*” (Dover Publ., New York 1971).

S	0.1	0.5	1.0	2.0	5.0
x	N	N	N	N	N
0	0	0	0	0	0
0.01	0.0128914	0.0153556	0.0153635	0.0153642	0.0153643
0.06	0.0351738	0.0716648	0.0725391	0.0726327	0.0726493
0.11	0.0456249	0.114448	0.118185	0.118645	0.118733
0.16	0.0525464	0.148441	0.156949	0.158146	0.158388
0.21	0.0575092	0.175619	0.190309	0.192658	0.193157
0.26	0.0611381	0.197261	0.219001	0.222924	0.223795
0.31	0.0637511	0.214264	0.243448	0.249344	0.250709
0.36	0.0655312	0.227276	0.263907	0.272127	0.27411
0.41	0.0665903	0.236759	0.280533	0.29136	0.294075
0.46	0.0669977	0.24304	0.293406	0.307031	0.310581
0.51	0.0667935	0.246338	0.302542	0.319054	0.323518
0.56	0.0659956	0.246781	0.307902	0.327267	0.332693
0.61	0.0646025	0.244413	0.309376	0.331429	0.337827
0.66	0.0625925	0.239189	0.306775	0.331207	0.338533
0.71	0.0599201	0.230963	0.299799	0.32614	0.334293
0.76	0.0565069	0.21945	0.287979	0.315585	0.324385
0.81	0.0522224	0.204152	0.270569	0.298585	0.307766
0.86	0.0468432	0.184191	0.246312	0.273613	0.282789
0.91	0.0399449	0.157879	0.212841	0.23789	0.246503
0.96	0.0305331	0.121236	0.164637	0.185057	0.192222
1.01	0.0143303	0.0571351	0.0780981	0.0882374	0.0918586
ω^*	ω^*	ω^*	ω^*	ω^*	ω^*
1.02339	0.00014708	0.000581825	0.000803709	0.000909179	0.00085274
1.06	0.0241698	0.0967223	0.132985	0.150947	0.157462
1.11	0.0378873	0.152123	0.210249	0.239625	0.250416
1.16	0.0484524	0.19513	0.270937	0.309896	0.324356
1.21	0.0576192	0.23268	0.324394	0.372178	0.390057
1.26	0.0659678	0.267053	0.373646	0.429795	0.450929
$x_c = 1.023392870924$	0				
$x_c = 1.023392849883$		0			
$x_c = 1.023392870944$			0		
$x_c = 1.023392870827$				0	
$x_c = 1.023392631646$					0

Table 1

Stable and unstable domain for selfgravitating compressible fluid cylinder with $S = \frac{a}{R_0}$ and $\omega^* = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{4\pi G\rho_0}}$.

Figure (1)

Stable and unstable domains for selfgravitating compressible cylinder with $S = \frac{a}{R_0}$, $N = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{4\pi G\rho_0}}$ and $\omega^* = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{4\pi G\rho_0}}$.